

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/927,719	08/11/2001	Clarence E. Blanchard	JT-3166-US	6359		
23566	7590 04/01/2003					
	R CHONG & FLAHER	EXAMINER				
825 THIRD AVE 30TH FLOOR			WRIGHT, ANDREW D			
NEW YORK	, NY 10022-7519		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3617	 		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	.		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/927,719		BLANCHARD, CL	ARENCE E	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Andrew Wright		3617		
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	errespondence ac	idress	
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for receive to reply we have reply receive earned patent teres.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The pely specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	oly filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status Deeper	anima ta communication(s) filed on 40 F	-h 2002				
	nsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	<u> </u>	. 61			
<u> </u>	,—	s action is non-fin			haa-ita ia	
	this application is in condition for allowa in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> laims				ie ments is	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-48</u> is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of th	ne above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ition.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-42 and 45-48</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31,43 and 44</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32 and 33</u> is/are objected to.					
•) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.			
Application Pape	ers					
· ·	cification is objected to by the Examiner		•			
	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		_			
	ant may not request that any objection to the					
	posed drawing correction filed on poved, corrected drawings are required in rep			ed by the Examir	ier.	
• •	oved, corrected drawings are required in rep or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•	on.			
	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Armior.				
	rledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	115 C \$ 110/0	(d) or (f)		
•) Some * c) None of:	priority under 33	0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).		
·	ertified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	wed			
	certified copies of the priority documents			ın No		
	copies of the certified copies of the prior				Stane	
	application from the International Bur attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Olage	
14)∐ Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisiona	ıl applicatior	n).
•	e translation of the foreign language pro- edgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other:			
S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)		tion Summary		Part of	f Paper No. 10)

Application/Control Number: 09/927,719

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 29-31, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies (US 2,676,559, newly cited) in view of Hall (US 5,273,467, previously cited). Davies discloses a boat with an outboard propulsion system mounted to the hull. The propulsion system comprises an engine (1), an exhaust housing (4), thrust bracket (5), propeller unit (column 7), and drive train (column 7). The exhaust housing is pivotally mounted to the hull, supports the engine, and has an internal exhaust gas passage. The thrust bracket comprises a flat plate that lies flat against the stern (see figures 1, 3, 10-12) and walls (136). The walls are parallel to each other and extend perpendicular to the flat plate. The walls (136) receive the exhaust housing (4) in the space defined between them, and prevent lateral rotation of the exhaust housing. Davies shows a horizontal propeller shaft that drives a propeller. The propeller shaft is connected to the engine via a drive train that includes a vertical drive shaft. Davies does not show an axial flow pump unit with an impeller and an exhaust gas passage that is in communication with the passage of the housing. Hall shows as prior art an outboard motor in figure 1. The depiction is essentially that of Davies: a propeller drive and the exhaust being routed through the drive shaft housing below the waterline while

Application/Control Number: 09/927,719

Art Unit: 3617

not going through the propeller unit. Hall shows in figures 5 and 6 that one can replace the propeller unit with an axial flow pump unit, the unit having an impeller and also having the exhaust routed therethrough. The axial flow pump unit has an exhaust passage (42) that communicates with exhaust passage (62) of housing (36). The axial flow pump unit inherently has a water duct. Hall teaches that it is known to route the exhaust through the hub to reduce drag (column 1, lines 25-41). Hall teaches that it is known to replace a propeller with an axial flow impeller for the purpose of reducing hazards to swimmers (column 1, lines 59-66). Hall teaches that the impeller is attached to horizontal propeller shaft (16). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Davies by using an impeller instead of a propeller and by routing the exhaust through the impeller unit, both as taught by Hall.

- 3. Regarding claim 30, the thrust bracket (5) has a flat plate and a pair of thrust walls (136) that are generally perpendicular to the plate.
- 4. Regarding claim 31, the drive train comprises a generally vertical drive shaft coupled to the engine and gears for converting the rotation of the drive shaft into rotation of the horizontal propeller shaft.
- 5. The modified invention of Davies includes all of the recited limitations of claim 43. Regarding claim 44, the propulsion system comprises sleeve (132) that comprises a pair of semi-cylindrical brackets bolted together about housing (4). The brackets are coupled via bracket (131) to a tilt pivot tube that extends around bolt (130). The propulsion unit is pivotable relative to the hull about the longitudinal axis of bolt (130).

Art Unit: 3617

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

- 6. Claims 34-42 and 45-48 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 32 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest the outboard waterjet propulsion system of claim 29 with the added feature of the exhaust housing comprising a pair of brackets for coupling with a tilt pivot tube (claim 32); the prior art does not teach or suggest the outboard waterjet propulsion system of claim 29 with the added feature of a generally horizontal inlet to the water duct (claim 33).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-31, 33, 43 and 44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rice, Jr., Harris et al., and Heide all discloses a thrust bracket that has a flat plate and a pair of walls that prevent lateral movement of the component between the walls.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Andrew D. Wright at telephone number (703) 308-6841. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,719

Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano, can be reached at (703) 308-0230. The fax number for official communications is 703-872-9326 for before final proceedings and 703-872-9327 for after final proceedings. The fax number for the examiner for unofficial communications is 703-746-3548.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Andrew D. Wright Patent Examiner Art Unit 3617

Mr 1/59/03